RESEARCH NOTE

THE MORPHOLOGY OF THE MAITHILI CONJUNCTIVE PARTICIPLE-K

Ramawatar Yadav

i ke batāha kavi bhānga pībi svāgata this who crazy poet hemp drink-CP welcome

basanta achi gābi rahala? Kāñcinātha Jhā 'Kirana' spring AUX sing IMPERF ASP

'Who is this crazy poet singing 'welcome to spring' having drunk hemp?

Introduction

This conjunctive participle is one of the characteristic devices for linking sequences of clauses to the host clause in Maithili.

The conjunctive participle in Maithili is marked by the affix $-k\partial$ (also by an allomorph $-k\partial e$ depending on idiolectal stylistic predilections and written in Devanagari variously as < ka>, < kae>, and < kaya>) which is attached to a tenseless verb of a nonfinite clause in a sentence. The following examples illustrate it.

hin-ka k∂tha-kẽ k∂ne bekcha-k∂ dekh-∂ / ja-e
 he-Hon-GENIT story-ACC/DAT a little elaborate-CP see-PSTPCPL go-OPT

'Let his story be viewed in a little more detail / Let's look at his story a little more elaborately.'

Contributions to Nepalese Studies, Vol. 28, No. 2 (July 2001), 269-275 Copyright © 2001 CNAS/TU

270 CNAS Journal, Vol. 28, No. 2 (July 2001)

2. bap dekh-l-\partial k je chēm dha\partial mph\para-k\partial uth-\partial /
father see-PST-3Nonhon+3Nonhon REL boy hurry-CP Aarise-PST-3Nonhon
'The father saw that the son arose hurriedly.'

Sentence (1) is a complex sentence the matrix of which consists of a finite verb dekh- ∂/je -e which in effect is a complex predicate composed of the polar verb stem dekh- and the vector verb stem ja- to which person, tense, aspect, and mood desinences are compositely attached, while the embedded clause consists of a non-finite verb bekchaeb to the tenseless form of which is attached the conjunctive participle $-k\partial$ upon deletion of the infinitive marker -eb (e.g. $bekchaeb \rightarrow bekcha\phi \rightarrow bekcha-k\partial$). Similarly, sentence (2) is also a complex sentence the matrix of which consists of a finite verb uth- ∂ / which is composed of the stem uth plus the person, tense, aspect, and mood desinences, while the embedded clause consists of a non-finite verb $dh\partial rph\partial raeb$ to the tenseless form of which is attached the conjunctive participle $-k\partial$ upon deletion of the infinitive marker -eb (e.g. $dh\partial rph\partial raeb \rightarrow dh\partial rph\partial ra-k\partial$).

A number of other $-k\partial$ forms also coexist in Maithili, e.g.

3. $rait-k\partial$ $n\partial i$ a-u night-PP not come-IMP-2 Hon

'Don't come at night'

In sentence (3) $-k\partial$ acts as a post position denoting time.

When the verb stem $k\partial r$ (of the infinitive $k\partial r\partial b$ 'to do') is combined with such action verbs as leb 'to take', deb 'to give', $aeb/\varpi b$ 'to come', $jaeb/j\varpi b$ 'to go' to form compound verb expressions in Maithili then the stem final -r is deleted and the resultant complex predicates are as follows: $k\partial le-l-\partial k$ (* $k\partial r$ $le-l-\partial k$), $k\partial de-l-k-\partial inh$ (* $k\partial r$ $d\dot{e}-l-k-\partial inh$). The following examples are illustrative:

4. u $bhoj\partial n$ $k\partial$ $le-l-\partial k$ he-Non hon meal do take-PST-3 Non hon 'He already ate.'

5. $t\tilde{o}$ kaj $k\partial$ ∂e -l- \tilde{e} You- Non hon work do come-PST-2 Non hon

'You already finished the work.'

6. o hun-k-∂r kaj k∂ de-l-k-∂ inh he-Hon he-Hon-GENIT work do giye-PST-3Hon+3Hon 'He already finished his job.'

A few Maithili idiomatic expressions (generally borrowed from Hindi) also contain $-k\partial$ forms, e.g.

7. o hath dho-kd ok-ra pachã pdir ge-l-ah he-Hon hand wash-CP he-Non hon-ACC/DAT behind lie go-PST-3Hon 'He followed him steadfastly.'

Please note that sentence (7) does not ever mean that 'he first washed his hands and then followed him.'

Finally, a few Maithili adverbial expressions also contain $-k\partial$ forms attached to them, e.g.

- 8 biśes-k∂ 'Specifically'
- 9 khas-k∂ 'Specially'

Since the $-k\partial$ forms illustrated in sentences (3-9) are not germane to the main argument of this paper, these will not be discussed here. The main purpose of the paper is to describe the morphological properties of the conjunctive participle $-k\partial$ which is attached to a tenseless verb of an embedded clause in Maithili.

Morphology

 $-k\partial$ is a non-neutral affix in that it tends to trigger changes in the shape of the verbal base to which it is attached. The exact nature of the verb stem alternation depends on the phonotactic structure of the verb, i.e., on whether the verb stem ends in an open or closed syllable or whether it contains a diphthong or not. Thus, to begin with, in a consonant-ending verb stem, -i is inserted at stem-end. In pronunciation the inserted -i is metathesized to a position prior o the final consonant, resulting thereby in profuse diphthongization in Maithili. In spelling, however, -i is retained at stem-end. In those sociolects in which the pronunciation and the spelling equate, metathesis does not occur – resulting thereby in an increase of the number of syllables. The following examples illustrate it.

272 CNAS Journal, Vol. 28, No. 2 (July 2001)

```
\rightarrow [uithk\partial/*uthk\partial]
                                                                                <uthika>
10./uth+k\partial
                       _uthik∂/
                                                                                                 having arisen'
                                           →[ainkd/*ankd]
                                                                                                  'having brought'
                                                                                <ānika>
                       →anik∂/
11. /an+k∂
                                           →[buijhk@*bujhk]]
                                                                                <bul><buihika>
                                                                                                  'having understood'
12. /buih+k∂
                       →bujhik∂/
                                           \rightarrow [duibk\partial/*dubk\partial]
                       \rightarrow dubik\partial /
                                                                                <dubika>
                                                                                                  'having drowned'
13. /dub+k∂
                                                                                <bājika>
                                                                                                 having spoken'
                       →bjik∂/
                                           \rightarrow[baijk\partial/*bajk\partial]
14. /bai+k∂
                                           \rfloor[kaitk\partial/*katk\partial]
                                                                                <kātika>
15. /kat+k∂
                       _katik∂/
                                                                                                  'having cut'
                                                                                                  'having said'
                                           \rightarrow [k\partial ihk\partial /*k\partial hka\partial]
                                                                                <kahika>
                       \rightarrow k\partial hik\partial /
16. /k\partial h + k\partial
                                                                                <hãsika>
                                                                                                  'having laughed
                       →h ðsik∂/
                                           \rightarrow [h\partial isk\partial/*h\partial ska]
17. /h\partial s + k\partial
                                            →[c∂irk∂/*c∂rk∂]
                                                                                <carika>
                                                                                                  'haing grazed'
18. /c\partial r + k\partial
                       →c∂rik∂/
19 /p\partial k\partial r + k\partial \rightarrow p\partial k\partial r i k\partial / \rightarrow [p\partial k\partial i r k\partial / *p\partial k\partial r k\partial ] < pakarika > 'having caught'
                                           \rightarrow [huirk\partial/*hurk\partial]
                                                                                <hārika>
                                                                                                  'having filled'
                       →hurik∂/
20. /hur+k\partial
```

Note that if the consonant-ending verb stem contains -i, the insertion of -i rule applies but the inserted -i is never metathsized – apparently to preserve the canonical phonological pattern of Maithili by disallowing a vowel hiatus of -ii or an occurrence of three vowels in a row within a phonological word in Maithili, e.g.

```
'having gound'
                                      [piskd~pisikd/*piiskd]
                                                                            <pisika>
21. /pis+k∂
                      pisik∂/
                                      [bdiskd~bdisikd/*bdiiskd] <baisika> having sat'
22. /p\partial is + k\partial
                      b∂isik∂/
                                      [\partial \tilde{t}thk\partial \sim \partial \tilde{t}thk\partial / * \partial \tilde{t}tthk\partial]
                                                                            <aı̃thika>
23 / \partial \tilde{\imath} th + k \partial
                      aĩthik∂/
                                                                                          'having twisted'
                                      [pdiskd~pdisikd/*pdiiskd] <paisika> 'having entered'
24. /p∂isk∂
                      pdisikd/
                                      [cirkd~cirikd/* ciirkd]
                                                                            <cīrika>
                                                                                          'having pierced'
25. /cir+k∂
                      cirik<sub>d</sub>/
```

Secondly, in a extremely small subset of recalcitrant monosyllabic verb stems ending in -r, the addition of the conjunctive participle $-k\partial$ triggers the deletion of the final r and an optional insertion of e at stem-end in educated styles of Maithili, e.g.

```
26. /k\partial + k\partial \rightarrow k\partial \phi k\partial / \rightarrow [k\partial k\partial \sim k\partial e k\partial / *k\partial r k\partial] < kaka/kaeka> 'having done' 27. /dh\partial r + k\partial \rightarrow dh\partial \phi k\partial \rightarrow [dh\partial k\partial \sim dh\partial e k\partial / *dh\partial r k\partial] < dhaka/dhaeka> 'having held'
```

It is worth noting here that in eastern dialects of Maithili, [k\partialek\partial] and [dh\partialek\partial] are also pronounced as [k\partialek\partial] and [dh\partialek\partial] respectively.

Thirdly, in a subset of verb stems ending in -a, upon addition of the conjunctive participle $-k\partial$, -b- is infixed prior to the insertion of -i. Alternatively, one might argue that b is inserted at stem-end, rendering the stem as consonant-final. The -i insertion then applies and the metathesis rule too applies as usual. The following examples exemplify it.

```
28. /la+k\partial \rightarrow labik\partial / \rightarrow [laibk\partial / *labk\partial] < l\bar{a}bika > 'having brought'
29. /a+k\partial \rightarrow abik\partial / \rightarrow [aibk\partial / *abk\partial] < \bar{a}bika > 'having come'
30. /pa+k\partial \rightarrow pabik\partial / \rightarrow [paibk\partial / *pabk\partial] < p\bar{a}bika > 'having got'
```

Fourthly, a few -i ending verb stems optionally undergo the -b- infixation rule prior to -i insertion, but block the metathesis to occur – apparently to disallow the hiatus of two high front vowels in Maithili. Thus, two sets of alternate verb forms coexist in Maithili, e.g.

```
31. /pi+k\partial/ \rightarrow [pibik\partial\sim pik\partial/*piibk\partial\sim *piik\partial] < p\bar{\imath}bika\sim p\bar{\imath}ka> 'having drunk' 32. /si+k\partial/ \rightarrow [sibik\partial\sim sik\partial/*siibk\partial\sim *siik\partial] < s\bar{\imath}bika\sim s\bar{\imath}ka> 'having sewn'
```

Fifthly, a few a and o ending verb stems do not undergo -b- infixation. Naturally, in such cases the -i insertion rule does not apply. Optionally, -e may be inserted at stem-end, e.g.

```
33./ja+k\partial/ \rightarrow [jak\partial~jaek\partial/*jaik\partial] <j\bar{a}ka~j\bar{a}eka> 'having gone' 34./kha+k\partial/ \rightarrow [khak\partial~khaek\partial/*khailk\partial] <kh\bar{a}ka~kh\bar{a}eka> 'having eaten' 35./cora+k\partial/ \rightarrow [corak\partial~coraek\partial/*coraik\partial] <cor\bar{a}ka~cor\bar{a}eka> 'having stolen' 36./dho+k\partial/ \rightarrow [dhok\partial~dhoek\partial/*dhoik\partial] <dhoka~dhoeka> 'having washed'
```

Sixthly, the inchoative -o ending verb stem ho 'become' undergoes a suppletion upon addition of the conjunctive participle $-k\partial$, and an optional e is inserted at stem-end, e.g.

$$37./ho+k\partial/ \rightarrow [bh\partial k\partial -bh\partial ek\partial] < bhak -bhaeka > 'having become'.$$

In some dialects, the stative ho 'be' does not undergo any change:

38.
$$/ho+k\partial/ \rightarrow [hok\partial]$$
 < hoka> 'having been'

Finally, a subset of Maithili verb stems ending in e undergo stem alternation upon addition of the conjunctive participle $-k\partial$ as shown below:

39. e
$$\rightarrow$$
 ϕ
 ϕ \rightarrow ∂ (e)
Or, alternatively,
e \rightarrow ∂ (e)

274 CNAS Journal, Vol. 28, No. 2 (July 2001)

Examples:

```
40. /le+k\partial \rightarrow /f\phi k\partial \rightarrow /\partial k\partial / \rightarrow [/\partial k\partial -/\partial ek\partial /*lek\partial] < laka-laeka> 'having taken' 41. <math>/de+k\partial \rightarrow d\phi k\partial \rightarrow d\partial k\partial / \rightarrow [d\partial k\partial -d\partial ek\partial /*dek\partial] < dak-daeka> 'having given' Or,
```

42.
$$/le+k\partial/ \rightarrow [/\partial k\partial -/\partial ek\partial]$$
 < laka-laeka> 'having taken' 43. $/de+k\partial \rightarrow [d\partial k\partial -d\partial ek\partial]$ < daka-daeka> 'having given'

Conclusion

Stem alternations in Maithili compound verb formations and conjunctive participle constructions are quite similar. For more information on Maithili compound verb constructions, the reader is referred to Yadav (1996:191-208). Also, $-k\partial$ deletion, as evidenced in the quotation cited at the onset of this paper, is characteristic of educated/literary styles of Maithili. All this and the syntactic and semantic properties of the Maithili conjunctive participle constructions will be discussed elsewhere.

Abbreviations

// Underlying Form
[] Surface Form
<>> Written Form

* Unacceptable form

Ø Deletion

~ Alternate Form

→ Becomes

ACC/DAT Accusative-Dative Case

AUX Auxiliary Verb

CP Conjunctive Participle

GENIT Genitive Case
Hon Honorific

IMP Imperative Mood IMPERF ASP Imperfective Aspect

Non hon Nonhonorific
OPT Optative Mood
PP Postposition
PST Past Tense
PSTPCPL Past Participle

The Morphology of the Maithili 275

REL	Relative Clause Marker
2	Second Person
3	Third Person

Reference

Yadav, Ramawatar. 1996. A Reference Grammar of Maithili (Trends in Linguistics: Documentation 11), Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter.