Pratek Man Tuladhar, with his caravan of goods, waiting to cross a river in Tibet by skin boat.
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This combined issue is late, as usual, but we will have a new Editor in January of 2001, who will get the issues out on a regular basis. Two more issues will be published by the end of the year and will bring the Postal Himal up to date. We also have a new auctioneer. For details see Colin Hepper's report on our London 2000 meeting in this issue.

I reversed two pages of Sidhartha Man Tuladhar's article which appeared in issue 99/100. Enclosed are corrected pages to replace the original ones (I apologize to those who have bound these issues).

An interesting article on the present-day postal system of Bhutan (which still uses postal runners) appeared in the January, 2000 issue of American Philatelist (pages 40-43). It is titled "These Bhutanese Are Made for Walking".

I have set up a Web Site offering various Himalayan items. It should be noted that four other members have Web Sites offering material (any others?). Addresses in alphabetical order:

- George Alevizos - http://www.georgealevizos.com
- Geoffrey Flack - http://tibetanpost.com
- Rainer Fuchs - http://fuchs-online.com/lager/tibet.htm
- Michael Rogers - http://www.michaelrogersinc.com

Have you visited our website yet?

Rainer Fuchs maintains an excellent website for the Society at:
http://fuchs-online.com/ntpsc
The Decline and Fall of a Solvent Philatelist
by
Armand E. Singer

Like most collectors I started as a—"generalist" sounds so pompous; call it—"gatherer" of anything with a country’s name and value printed on it (stamps off Father’s letters, fiscals and revenues from his property registrations or cigar boxes, whatever I could tear off, grab, or trade for)—all this at the tender age of seven. I soon built a “world collection” (read: at least one stamp from most the countries pictured in Scott’s International Junior album), especial strengths in the likes of Hungary, Mozambique Company, and post WWI Germany—stamp packet stuff.

Then, the bug really bit. It began, around age ten, to suck up my whole 50¢-allowance blood. My father passed away in 1927 not long before my thirteenth birthday, by which time I was being bled to the tune of my already augmented $2.00 weekly take, principally by run-of-the-mill U.S.

By college age I was adding British North America, as my mother had finally remarried, this time to a Canadian, and we were living in Ontario. These were the bad Depression years, but somehow I managed to fill in a few older U.S., keep up with the current output (then but a few issues: compare with today’s outlandishly numerous emissions!), both domestic and Canadian, and even get started on Newfoundland, my new favorite.

Fast forward to the 1950s. I had, in the album referred to above, hinged in one lone Tibet stamp (subsequently found to be spurious) but no Nepal. One day I caught sight, purely by chance, of an ad from some South African philatelist trying to unload his Nepal for $50.00. By this time I was an assistant professor at WVU pulling down a princely several hundred a month. I could reasonably manage said expenditure. I only had in mind filling a space or two. I didn’t need a small collection. Oh, fatal step! I’ve never been completely solvent since. May I explain?

The collection in question, modest but worth its cost, included a cover sent from Kathmandu to Lhasa, and since neither Nepal nor Tibet was by then a member of the U.P.U., the cover necessarily bore stamps of both countries. Now I had a real Tibet stamp, not one of those ubiquitous forgeries. But, thought I, it might be nice to have a couple more genuine Tibet adhesives, or even . . . , etc. Be warned. Lifers languish in jails who started with one petty theft, murderers with a careless knife thrust. Yes, and bankrupt philatelists start with coveting a pretty picture on a piece of paper. Anyhow, this one did.

In my not too convincing defense I might plead that in the fifties, as we all know, both Nepal and Tibet were rather cool, that’s to say inexpensive. It was anything but difficult to add stamps and covers from the frequent auctions of Robson Lowe and others. Today when Tibet material is astronomical and Nepal not all that far behind, I’m riding a Himalayan tiger and can’t let go. Actually, I really don’t want to. I can scarcely afford to add to either country’s offerings, but continue, even-handedly, to favor both. In fact, I confess to a certain pride in what I’ve managed. I’ve gotten a slew of articles and two books out of them; they well may rank among the best collections anywhere. They’re a real solace to my declining years. So, I’m satisfied. I’m not so sure I can say as much for my perennially deprived spouse!
New Tibetan "Officials" Discovery
Armand E. Singer

One of the intriguing facts about Tibetan philately is its unpredictability. You simply never know what finds, to-die-for or dubious, are likely to turn up at any given moment. Witness the latest: A sheet of six of a huge (ca. 74x76 mm.) first cousin of the “officials,” herewith illustrated full size. The illustration is made from a black-and-white xerox copy, sent to me by the well-known Kathmandu dealer, Surendra Lal Shrestha, asking me to comment on his discovery. I understand that he does not have the original sheet himself, but tells me that it is chestnut brown (cf. Scott 03) denomination unknown. A rumor from another source has it that the asking price for the full sheet would be over three thousand dollars, U.S.

As self-styled expert on these vexing “officials,” I make bold to offer the following observations:

1) They are more clearly printed than any of the seven previously known values, closest to the forgery of the large one-sang denomination (Scott 05; see my “officials” monograph, p. 19), although the one sang uses the same cliché for the whole sheet of eight, whereas this sheet of six features all individually drawn clichés. At a guess, I’d say a production by the same forger. Mr. Shrestha writes that he was told the sheet emanates from Tibet. The accurate, carefully drawn Tibetan characters seem to bear him out.

2) There is a value clearly delineated, near the bottom left (“sang”) and bottom right (“two”). So we have a stamp of 13 1/3 trangkas, evidently supposedly dating from the 1950s, while Tibet was under its own sovereignty, the era when the other “officials” surfaced. We could scarcely conceive of this sheet’s being produced, that is, a need for it, under later, Chinese rule. Quite a high value, greater alone than any combined franking I have run across on any native cover in over fifty years of collecting Tibet.

3) Three thousand, plus? Save your money! More of these curiosities will surface much sooner than later, at reduced asking prices. As for me, had I been shown the original, I would have been happy to suggest a hundred dollars, but then I am known as an easy mark.

4) Finally—could this sheet actually be “genuine,” with at least as good credentials as the rest of the issue? Of course! There is even some evidence that the world is flat, though I have never heard of anyone’s falling off it yet.

I had the foregoing page ready to send off when I received from friend and fellow member of our Circle Nickolas Rhodes a photocopy of part of a chapter from a 1995 book printed in Lhasa in Chinese. The pages he sent dealt with the “officials,” including two items not in my monograph, The “Officials” of Tibet (Vancouver, B.C.: Geoffrey Flack, 1999). Like me, he does not read Chinese and was not sure what arguments were being offered. Since then I managed to obtain a copy of the book and had the chapter pages translated by Christine Chang, Associate Librarian, West Virginia Library, to whom I am greatly indebted.

The book in question is The History of the Postal Service and Stamps of Tibet by Yuan Liu, Hsie Yue Shuen, and Aha Wang Shan Tsun, published by the Tibetan Peoples Publishing Co.
The authors discuss the new 13 1/3 tr. (two sang) "official" (now seen to have been known at least five years before my 2000 date), which they denote as two liang, not sang ("liang" is a word normally meaning a Chinese unit of weight, more or less equivalent to our "ounce," but which can also denote a unit of value) and measure it as 76 x 76 mm. (individual clichés vary by a millimeter or so; figures for the photo sheet in my possession vary from 73 to 77 mm). One of the authors, Yuan Liu, writes that he owns a pair, previously the only known examples being a pair kept in the Tibetan Postal Museum. The pair is illustrated in color (all the colors in the volume are poorly reproduced) as No. 43.

The authors go on to describe and list others in the "set" and detail why their issuance was necessary: A shortage of funds to pay the mailmen and long, difficult routes made for poor service. The Tsa-Kang system was established to improve the service. Its mailmen were dressed differently, paid 25 liang more than the usual 150 liang, and used these new official stamps. More mailmen and more horses were added. Official mail was wrapped in cloth ribbons to indicate different priority. Runners could receive twenty lashes if mail was an hour late. The special stamps originally differentiated the new system from the old, but eventually officials and the telegraph stamps as well were both used for regular postage. [ed. See front cover of this issue.]

A chart of the eight denominations the authors recognize (and state are in their collection) is provided. As follows:

1. 1/3 tr. gray 39 x 28 mm., sheet size 3x3
2. 1 tr. bronze 42 x 38 mm., sheet size 4x3
3. 2/3 tr. reddish brown 25 x 33 mm., sheet size 4x3
4. 1 1/3 tr. brass green 40 x 40 mm, sheet size 3x2
5. 1 1/3 tr. olive green 43 x 45 mm., 3x2
6. 3 1/3 tr. red 50 x 53 mm., sheet size 2x2
7. 6 2/3 tr. stone blue 66 x 64 mm., sheet size 4x2
8. 13 1/3 tr. soil yellow 76 x 76 mm., sheet size 2x2

The authors note that Scott and Michel list a 1/3 tr. bronze green (this would be Scott 01), but since they have never seen one, do not list it. They think the color suggests the stamp should be their No. 4, 1 1/3 tr. bronze green, above (Mr. Rhodes also denominates Scott 01 as 1 1/3 tr.). This is apparently what they illustrate at the start of the book as No. 41, in the unpaginated color section of the volume, but the picture, though close to what we call No. 1 is not the same stamp. Minor details differ. Another forgery?

A big problem with the chart I reproduce above is that, except for Nos. 7 (reasonably accurate) and 8, most of the dimensions do not fit either Scott’s figures or the stamps I have measured myself and can vouch for as accurate for the singles I used (sheets as usual show slight variations). Tentatively I identify No 1 as Scott 02, 2 as ?, 3 as 03, 4 as 01, 5 as 04, 6 as my own 48 x 48 mm., sheet size unknown, and obviously 7 as 05 and 8 as the new one. No. 2 should be my 40 x 23 pale orange, the other one with no sheets recorded, but the dimensions do not fit, though like Rhodes’ values, both read one traganka. The Chinese book makes the stamp almost square, where our example is clearly horizontally rectangular. The new 2 sang is also shown as a single on unpaginated “p. 16,” dark blue
The authors illustrate Scotts 01 (unpaginated color pages at the start of the book, what I count as p. 16, but the reproduction is not quite like the 01 we know, though the geometric border is similar). They show 02 on unpaginated “p.13,” a pair on cover and a block of four on another cover and a single on still another cover, “p. 16,” and as an uncolored part sheet, p. 87. 03 is reproduced on “p. 13,” single on cover, and as a sheet of 12 on p. 87, uncolored, inverted, and a mirror image. 04 may be seen on “p. 16” as a part sheet, much reduced, and on p. 89 as an uncolored part sheet, mirror image. They speak, p. 88, of two different designs of the 1 1/3 tr. stamps, their Nos 4-5, our 01 and 04 (both shown on “p. 16”) and correctly note that 01 is rare (“worth more”) than 04. What they do not say, even though writing that more than one designer seems to have done the stamps, is that 01 is the only one in the original set or later additions to be drawn with straight, geometric border designs, not rounded, rather more floral in nature.1 Geometric designs are seen in Tibetan philately, but to my recollection, only on seals, occurring as Horyig inscriptions, certainly not on stamps of any nature. Some collectors have considered 01, which predates by at least five years all the others in the “set,” as having nothing to do with the rest. 05 is shown, much reduced as a full sheet, mirror image, on “p. 15,” on a much reduced cover, as a single, the cover being a mirror image, and as a part sheet, uncolored mirror image, p. 89.

The one-sang 05 is again shown in color, almost black, at the top of unpaginated “p.17,” but details of the cliché differ from the 05 in Scotts or my 1999 monograph or even my so-called forgery, or their photo, “p. 15.” They recognize the differences, observing on p. 88 that there are actually three carvings of the one sang, two with 4 stamps to the sheet (one 66 x 64 mm., the other 58 x 57 mm.), and one with 8 to a sheet. The reason for three carving was that the stamp was so popular, the plate wore out. The two sang is shown below it, deep blue, on the same page, though the authors themselves describe it on p. 86 as “soil yellow.”

Final comments: The mirror images suggest these pictures may have been lifted from some other book (note that some have a slash line across one corner to present illegal reproduction, some don’t). The incorrect stamp dimensions the incorrect sheet sizes for several of the series, and the lack of photos of their own Nos. 2 and 6, argue for a lot of material unseen, in spite of their claim, p. 88, that the eight types are all in their (royal plural?) collection. The account of why the “officials” were instituted in the first place is plausible enough, if undocumented, but it would be much more credible if they showed even one cover supposedly sent by this special postal service. They illustrate two covers in color on “p. 13,” one on p. “15,” and a fourth on “p. 16,” all of the kind we have seen in countless European and U. S. auction catalogs.

I am not inclined to change the basics of the account I penned before being apprised of this 1995 Lhasa volume, nor anything in my 1999 monograph. We do need, of course, to read the rest of this 186 p. book, which could include valuable new discoveries, if the new two sang and the two slightly different 01 and 05 photos are any indication. Tibet philately as always continues to amaze and confound all its devotees.

1 I must admit that the design difference had never struck me before now.
The Web Site of the Nepal and Tibet Philatelic Study Circle
and Its Future

Rainer Fuchs

For almost three years the Nepal and Tibet Philatelic Study Circle (NTPSC) has been on the
World Wide Web. During this time our Study Circle has found several new members through
the Home Page, and I, as the Web Master, have earned a lot of praise, little criticism but almost
no help from other members.

It is nice to receive praise from others who appreciate one's work and efforts. Criticism is also
important as long as it is constructive - but none has been received. Except for a contribution
by Colin Hepper no help has been received what so ever. Why?

I love working on the Home Page but I am not willing to handle the work load alone anymore.
What I will do is to make the regular updates, like indexing the Postal Himal and keeping the list
of officers up to date, but I will not add new features to the Home Page.

The Internet is a powerful tool to share and spread information, irrespective of time and
distance. But why are the features on our Home Page so neglected by our members? Take, for
instance, the Discussion page. This is an online forum to share opinions; but is totally
neglected. Non-members post questions but there are no responses from members who have the
knowledge to answer. These non-members could possibly have become members of the NTPSC if
some information had been offered.

I used to post questions to encourage others to do the same, but again no response. I offer free
advertisements for members (except for one paid ad and my own ad) but there are no takers.
The topic, "Subject Index", could be a powerful online resource, but except for the default pages
I have created initially, nothing was added. The "Catalogue" was planned as a cross reference for
the areas we deal in, but again, except for my pages, no additions or even a reply has been
offered.

So far I bear all the expenses relating to the NTPSC's Home Page. Okay, you can say I have the
equipment necessary to support the Home Page, but I need some feedback to make the time and
effort I put forth meaningful. For the ones who do not know, I maintain the Home Page in my
free time, which is very limited. I am married, have three children and am very busy with my
full time job as Site Manager for the German company, Siemens, at our Control Centre Projects
in Kuwait, as well as maintaining the Home Page here.

It is up to you to help shape the future of the NTPSC on the World Wide Web - it can be bright or
dull. How can you help as members? I would like to make a face lift of the Index page but have
limited graphic skills. We need someone with fresh ideas to help promote the Home Page. We
also need contributions of articles. These do not have to be large or deep in scope (although
these also would be welcomed) - just something for the Subject index. All I request is that the
reports be submitted in electronic form so that I do not need to retype them (even with OCR
some re-typing is necessary).

Now, for those who do not know, the Internet address of "OUR" Web Site is:

http://fuchs-online.com/ntpsc/

Please take a look,

Rainer

* * * * *
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NEPAL - NEW ISSUE,
30 June 2000

Visit Nepal Series

R12 – Tchorolpa Glacial Lake, Dolakha
R15 – Dakshinkali Temple, Kathmandu
R18 – 50th Anniversary of 1st Asent of Mount Annapurna 1

Designer: K.K. Karmacharya


Quantity: One Million each value.

F.D. Cancel:

Regular Series

7 July 2000

Title: Rani Pokhari, Kathmandu
0.50P – Orange and Black
R.1 – Blue and Black
R.2 – Brown and Black

Designer: K.K. Karmacharya
Printer: Helio Courvoiser S.A.
Switzerland
Quantity: Five million each.

F.D. Cancel:

** * * * **
REPORT OF THE MEETING HELD AT THE STAMP SHOW 2000 IN LONDON
ON FRIDAY 26 MAY AT 10:30 AM

Colin Hepper

The meeting was attended by the following members: Armand Singer, Colin Hepper, Dick van der Wateren, Leo Martyn, Wolfgang Hellrigl, Al Zuluetta, Richard Hanchett, Nick Rhodes, Peter Planken, David Crocker, Derek Pocock, Bernard Lucas, Geof Rosamond, David Froud, Geoffrey Flack, plus eight visitors.

The meeting opened with Colin Hepper first introducing himself, and giving apologies from Derrick Dawson, Jennifer Broad and Bruo Le Peut. The other people attending then also introduced themselves.

Armand Singer then talked about the future activities of the Circle and in particular was keen to promote more publications from members. He thought that it would be worth investigating the help that might be available from the Stewart Rossiter Fund which had been set up in the UK for help in publishing books.

Armand then presented his talk ‘The Penultimate Quest’ which was illustrated by slides, showing some of the fine covers and pieces from his collection.

This was followed by a talk from the very enthusiastic Tibet collector Geoffrey Flack, who has done much to promote the collecting of Tibet. He first showed a selection of books that he had helped to publish or was available from him.

He then proceeded to show unusual Tibetan items that he had discovered and various different aspects of Tibetan collecting. These were all illustrated in the form of large photographs on card, which made it easier for the audience to see.

Before starting the business meeting Leo Martyn showed some Nepalese ‘Cavalry Stamps’ and a stick that post runner would use to carry the sack of mail on their shoulders.

The business meeting then followed: -

Item (1). The position of President and Vice President.

Colin Hepper stated that when Wolfgang Hellrigl had to stand down as President, he had approached both Armand Singer and Dick van der Wateren to take over these posts. This they agreed to but there had been no voting of the members and the question was raised ‘should voting have taken place’? Dr Hellrigl stated that he felt that members were more than happy with the two officers in question and that this meeting should formally approve it. This was done so unanimously.

Item (2). Publishing Postal Himal.

Colin Hepper stated that over a long period Leo Martyn had maintained an excellent standard of publication. However due to an increase in workload, publications had slipped behind, but with some help from himself this had now almost been corrected. Richard Hanchett had volunteered to take over the position of Editor from Leo.

He would do this officially on 1 January 2001 but in the meantime would work with Leo so that there was smooth transfer of posts.

Item (3). Circle Auctions.

Al Zuluetta is taking over the position of auctioneer and he told the meeting that he thought it would be better to run one large auction each year, rather than a number of smaller ones. He suggested that this auction should be run in September each year. Members would then know the time of the auction each year and would have plenty
of time to prepare lots and send to him. Details of his proposals would be published in Postal Himal.

Item (4). **Financial report.**

Colin Hepper presented the balance sheets for all transactions except for North America for the last three years. Armand Singer then stated that he had some concerns about the USA accounts. He stressed that members must appreciate that all society officers worked for nothing in their own time, which was not always easy to do. However the balance sheet that he had received from Roger Skinner showed no funds at all which was a worrying aspect. It was possible because he had asked for this at a very late stage before he left for England that Roger had been unable to get a balance sheet together in the short time available.

There was some discussion as to the best ways to tighten up on our accounting procedures, and a suggestion from Wolfgang Hellrigl that the President, Vice President and Secretary look into our accounting and resolve the problem was agreed by the meeting.

The business meeting was then closed and members used the remaining short time for discussion and viewing of the items on display.

---

**Nepal & Tibet Philatelic Study Circle**

**Accounts for the year 1997 (Europe)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INFLOWS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest Inc</td>
<td>120.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Member Sub</td>
<td>2550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening Balance</td>
<td>2044.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscription</td>
<td>559.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL INFLOWS £5343.95**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUTFLOWS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affil. Subs.</td>
<td>-18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Charge</td>
<td>-5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Transfer</td>
<td>-250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photocopying</td>
<td>-2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationery</td>
<td>-23.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL OUTFLOWS £-298.46**

**BALANCE £5045.49**

---
#### Nepal & Tibet Philatelic Study Circle

**Accounts for the year 1998 (Europe)**

**INFLOWS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donation</td>
<td>5.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Inc</td>
<td>168.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Member Sub</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscription</td>
<td>196.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL INFLOWS** £620.00

**OUTFLOWS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affil. Subs.</td>
<td>-16.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stamps</td>
<td>-4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationery</td>
<td>-2.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL OUTFLOWS** £-23.20

**OVERALL TOTAL** £596.80

**BALANCE** £5642.29

---

#### Nepal & Tibet Philatelic Study Circle

**Accounts for the year 1999 (Europe)**

**INFLOWS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest Inc</td>
<td>53.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc. Cheques</td>
<td>350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscription</td>
<td>625.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL INFLOWS** £1028.20

**OUTFLOWS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advertisement</td>
<td>-30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.H.Postage</td>
<td>-733.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.H.Printing + Envelopes</td>
<td>-875.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guillotine</td>
<td>-89.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>-23.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stamp Show 2000</td>
<td>-25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationery</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscriptions</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL OUTFLOWS** £-1769.88

**OVERALL TOTAL** £-741.68

**BALANCE** £4900.61

---
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PERSONALITIES AT THE STAMP SHOW 2000 MEETING

Secretary Colin Hepper addressing the meeting with Armand Singer on the left and Dick van der Wateren on the right of the picture.

Leo Martyn

Geoffrey Flack

Richard Hanchett

Al Zuluetta
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Many different souvenir post cards connected with various Himalayan mountain expeditions have been prepared over the years. Illustrated below, from the collection of Jeremy Brewer, is one such post card and the subscription form for the Royal Air Force Mountaineering Association's Dhaulagiri IV Expedition of 1974.

Dhaulagiri IV is part of a massif comprising six peaks. This particular climb, led by Wing Commander Dickie Bird, was unsuccessful, as it was abandoned after three sherpas died due to an accident. In 1975, two members of a Japanese team were successful in reaching the top, but died on the descent as they had to spend the night on the slope.

Many thanks to Armand Singer for supplying the information.
THE ROYAL AIR FORCE
MOUNTAINEERING ASSOCIATION

NEPAL

President - Air Chief Marshal Sir Lewis Hodges KCB CBE DSO DFC ADC RAF

THE ROYAL AIR FORCE

DHAULAGIRI EXPEDITION 1974

1. This Royal Air Force Expedition plans to attempt the unclimbed 25,133 ft peak Dhaulagiri 4 in April and May 1974. The peak is situated in the remote western area of Nepal. A programme of botany and zoology will also be undertaken by a party of 3 scientists from the British Museum of Natural History together with a research programme by a member of the Institute of Aviation Medicine into the high altitude adaptation of birds.

2. In order to supplement our funds an official Expedition postcard bearing the 1 Nepalese rupee "GORKHA" stamp will be despatched from our Base Camp in the Barbung Khola north of the Dhaulagiri Himal. The postcard will bear a map of Nepal and a panorama of the peaks of the Dhaulagiri and Annapurna Range, with expedition members signatures.

3. Two post cards will be available. One at 30p with the single "GORKHA" stamp and one at 60p with three stamps (1R "GORKHA" - 25 pice "LUMBINI" - 50 pice "HIS ROYAL HIGHNESS THE KING OF NEPAL").

4. If you are a philatelic enthusiast or you have a son or daughter at school and wish to send them a card or to receive a card yourself, please complete the order slip below.

MEMBERS OF THE EXPEDITION

Leader Wg Cdr D le R Bird HQSC
Wg Cdr W B Russell RAF KINLOSS
Flt Lt P J Addis HQSC
Flt Lt J R Smith ANZUK SINGAPORE
Flt Off M J Le Marie HQSC
Ch Tech G P Armstrong RAF MASIRAH
Flt Sgt P J McGowan RAF AKROTIRI
Lt Col J O M Roberts KATHMANDU
Cpl I R Jones RAF GRANTOWN-ON-SPEY
SAC T A Taylor RAF LEUCHARS
Capt M G Le G Bridges RE
Capt P W Gunson REME
Mr S C Nixon RAF IAM
Dr G B Gorbet BRITISH MUSEUM
Mr K H Hyatt ""
Mr R Vickery ""

Climbing - Garbah Ang Phu
Zoological - Garbah Kanchha NAMCHE
Botanical - Garbah Chettan Chumbi KATHMANDU
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The Vicar Apostolic of Tibet in Ta-tsien-lu Gets Mail from Rawalpindi
Armand E. Singer

The letter pictured here left the foothill town of Rawalpindi, India (then sheltering some hundred thousand inhabitants, but now crowded with a million, in N. E. Pakistan, not far from the disputed-border high country of the Karakorams) over a hundred years ago, June 29, 1896. The Tibet towns most of us collect wouldn’t appear to present any special problems: S. E. to Calcutta, north by way of Darjeeling to Gyantse (most likely), destination Lhasa, with private hand delivery to the nearby village specified on the cover, where the Vicar would be enjoying whatever hospitality that almost hermetically sealed country might allow. We all seek out Younghusband or Bailey covers from less than a decade later, possibly a Sven Hedin example soon after. If 1896 seems a bit early, it is at least from the general era. But no. This cover is something possibly unique.

The Right Reverend Bishop F. (for Félix) Biet, Vicar Apostolic of Tibet, also known as the Bishop of Diana, was a most determined Catholic missionary (1838-1904), who assumed his exalted post near the end of 1877 and held it until his death on September 9, 1904. And “Ta-tsien-lu,” no village, but a commercial center in Eastern Tibet, with around ten thousand people, half Chinese, half Tibetan, where the Bishop precariously resided (now part of China’s Sikang Province and more commonly called Kangting, some 125 m. W. S. W. of better-known Chengtu) lies reasonably due east of Lhasa, about 650 miles as Tibetan ravens fly, but people need roads. To avoid over half a dozen precipitously steep, thousands-of-feet-deep valleys cut by raging rivers (think Tsangpo, Dikang, Salween, Mehong, Yangtse, Yalung) the road (read slippery dirt track) toward the eastern slopes of the Himalayas was routed over three hundred miles to the N. E. The whole passage probably entailed a journey of fifteen hundred miles (ten a day over mountain passes, etc., is a very good average), half a year from Lhasa.
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That’s why this rather plain cover tells such a fascinating story. I have enhanced the datestamps on the back. Let us trace its convoluted odyssey. (Common sense dictated avoiding the overland traverse.) July 7 found it in Tuticorin, way down on the southeastern corner of India, across the Gulf of Mannar from the island of Ceylon (now Sri Lanka) and its capital city of Colombo, where it received its next datestamp the following day. The stamp “Singapore to Hong Kong,” July 20, is a ship’s mark. The French Ligne N serviced Colombo on its way to Shanghai, but that line had its own postmarks; this letter would surely have been put aboard British vessels, Ceylon to Singapore to Hong Kong. In any event, we find it bearing a Shanghai mark July 29, obviously applied in the British-American International part of the city (see below).

To the left of the original Rawalpindi cancel is a somewhat faint postmark dated August 2, 1896, the last datable mark to be found. The letters above it do not spell out a town name but read “Customs.” The town must be Tientsin, its postmark more fully reproduced here: Tientsin is the logical jumping-off town for a routing to Tatsienlu, but I have not used mere logic for reproducing this particular datestamp. It is the only one that exactly fits celluloid overlays of all 41 similar stampings illustrated in Padget (pp. 18-19), including two other almost identical Tientsin examples, one earlier and one later. The other forty reproductions prove to be too large, too small, the letters differently spaced, the inner and outer rings too far apart, etc. Tientsin it is, with one small variation to be considered. Padget notes that Tientsin’s datestamps are known in black, blue, and violet only (black the commonest). My cover has a pale red mark, possibly faded. “Violet” would be a stretch.

This town is some seven hundred miles up-coast from Shanghai, slightly inland from the port city of Taku, a hundred miles or so S. E. of Peking, and a well-known headquarters for arriving Chinese mail. The Customs Houses in each town handled correspondence as well as packages at that time. So far, despite the enormous distance, the letter’s routing was fairly standard, and quite rapidly consummated—under two months, even with the leisurely pace of packet steamers.

But now begins the really arduous part of its hegira overland to Tatsienlu, 1450 airline miles S. W. of Tientsin in the high eastern foothills of the Himalayas—this time there were more feasible roads, but the route would still add another thousand miles at best to this minimum. Even as late as its 1951 edition, the Oxford Atlas shows Tatsienlu served merely by dirt roads, “passable only in fair weather” (pp. 8 and 62), a route that wanders all over the map from Tientsin as well. Not an easy or a short destination. We need some explanation of postal realities in that area, 1896.

March 1896 saw the establishment of the new Chinese Imperial Post, still under the Englishman, Sir Robert Hart, now to be known as Inspector General of Posts as well as of Customs, though the “Customs” stamp would remain on letters for some time. Letters such as the present one would have arrived from the British P. O. in Shanghai to (port and) nearby port cities such as Tientsin, where the Chinese system asserted its authority, but only along or near the coast. Inland was no-man’s land. No imperial system had as yet been instituted. The customs houses were, as the name suggests, part of the ports and harbors set-up. For transport of mail to the west—the frontier provinces, i.e.—varying amounts of candareens could be assessed as payment, Chinese stamps sometimes affixed. “Very few of these [covers from abroad] seem to have survived, and we have recorded only half-a-dozen,” writes F. W. Webb, and the ones he had in mind happened to have gone from Ireland to Peking, not to an outpost like Tatsienlu, which Webb does not even mention.

The present cover shows no evidence of fees paid, and obviously no stamps were affixed, but it surely arrived: there is no Dead Letter Office postmark to indicate “return to sender.” And who was the sender, there being no return address? A tantalizing candidate might well be Bishop Biet’s friend, William Woodville Rockhill, whose Land of the Lamas frequently mentions him.
How did this much-travelled missive get to its destination, no small feat in itself? George Alevizos feels it may represent the farthest western delivery of a letter at that time. If the regular system did not extend very far inland, senders would have to avail themselves of some other means: Alevizos suggests private couriers, possibly traders. Tatsienlu had a flourishing trade with coastal populations in tea, etc. He even considered the missionaries themselves, porters having to bring supplies to the mission and having from time to time to renew them in towns like Tientsin. He added that the native Min Chu system might have carried the letter. In any event, there are no markings to provide clues; in fact there is apparently no known Tatsienlu postmark in use in 1896.

Interestingly, Chinese postal services to the frontier provinces and beyond have remained spotty even up to recent times, although China eventually got regular routes to the west. A Chungking National Herald newspaper story dated July 26, 1945 notes that postal routes and post offices for Sikang, Mongolia, Tibet, etc., are very sparse, beset with problems of deserts, high plateaus, mountain ranges, poor soil, consequent poverty, and meager populations. The mail is carried, writes the Herald, over primitive routes, by couriers, mules, or horses, etc., etc.

Tatsienlu was big enough center, but size isn’t the whole story. A better picture of Bishop Biet’s hardships there might be guessed in reading a letter written a few years earlier from that same mission and town (May 20, 1865), wherein the writer describes closed roads (consequently no funds arriving from France), persecutions, killings of Christian Neophytes, and the constant threat of enslavement of the victims’ orphaned children. For Christian missionaries in the land of “pagans” (the writer’s term for them) these were not the best of times. Bishop Biet must surely have received this letter from the outer world with great warmth. It takes a truly dedicated believer to have spent one’s last forty years pretty well back of beyond, a virtual stranger in a strange land.

3. See my Nepal 1772-1961 and Beyond, p. 30, the 1888 cover from Peking to Kathmandu, via Shanghai, Colombo, Madras, etc., or my Tibet 1809-1975, p. 68, depicting a cover from Lhasa to Peking, which bears a Tatsienlu backstamp, applied en route. The cover travelled the fabled overland “silk route,” one of a small handful so recorded. Normally, such mail went overseas, like the present cover.
5. Some others of the Chinese Customs datestamps are known in red, so the obvious conclusion is that I have lucked onto an unrecorded variety. But there is another possibility. Violet dyes are normally various mixtures of red and blue. The latter is recognized as a fugitive color, easily oxydized, given to fading. If the blue component of a violet stamp sufficiently faded, we would be left with a more or less red remainder. I checked my theory with a West Virginia University chemistry professor friend, who agreed that it would stand as a real possibility. The black ink on the rest of the cover, however, remains quite fresh.

This may be the best place to admit to my great debt in composing the present article to the expertise of Leo Martyn and George Alevizos, especially the latter.
7. (London: Longmans, Green, 1891), pp. 227, 247, 270, 272-73, 285-87, 301. He actually cites a letter from the Bishop (p. 227), and visited him in Tatsienlu itself (pp. 270 ff.).


10. Rockhill, p. 272, in a remark that must date from ca. 1890, writes that his friend has been in Tibet for 26 years. He was still there upon his death fourteen years later.
"Covers From Nepal by F. H. Durkee"

Leo Martyn

The following newspaper clipping, sent in by Alan Warren, relates some early first-hand experiences of a collector. The article appeared in the June 14, 1947 issue of Western Stamp Collector.

Situated on the southwest slope of the highest part of the Himalaya Range, north of India, east of Sikkim and south of Tibet, and bounded on the north and west by Nepal and the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh is the independent Kingdom of Nepal. Contained in its 94,000 square miles (about the size of Illinois) are some of the world's highest mountains. Just the anthills of the perpetually snow-covered peaks can be found in Southern Nepal where the land contains hot, steaming jungles, home of tigers, elephants and other mammals as found in India itself.

Has a Maharajah

Although closely tied to India by the commerce it carries on, Nepal is a military oligarchy with a maharajah as the nominal head but having a prime minister as the real ruler. Its population has been estimated at 5,500,000 but this figure is considered to be a million more than what it actually is. Jealously guarding their freedom, the majority of Nepal is still closed to traveiors but there is a British resident and a small detachment of British troops stationed at Kathmandu, the capital. In this city of 80,000 Europeans have traveled, but it is considered unlikely that this country includes the next two largest cities of Patan and Bhaktapur, population about 30,000 each. As of 1930, Nepal contained 153 miles of railroads and 311 miles of highways.

Fortunately indeed was I, when, in early 1941 I met a friend who was engaged in worldwide export and import trade and who had journeyed extensively in India. He had with him an address book containing a list of individuals whom he had met in his travels. In Calcutta he had met Babu Arund, a minor government official from Nepal. Babu, it developed, could speak and write English quite well and when my friend recommended him as one to become better acquainted with, by correspondence of course, I needed no further urging.

"I Was Disappointed"

At first I wrote only the general items of interest which I thought would appeal to him, not caring much what I discussed, only waiting for the day to get his reply and see what new cover I could add to my collection. Nearly seven months later his letter arrived and although having requested Babu to use a few Nepal stamps on the cover I was disappointed to find merely a copy of the 2-anna pictorial series of 1938, with a half and one-anna George VI series franking the envelope. However, there was a beautiful postmark containing the word NEPAL, in the center of the date, and in the bottom an ornamental four-dotted design. The postmark on this cover was a "Not Opened by Censor" working in a rectangle that did disoblige proud, however, for he had carefully pasted used copies of the 2, 4, 8 and 16 pice stamps of Nepal, series 1935-41.

Again I wrote him and this time requested that even though his former cover stated it was not possible to use Nepal stamps outside of the country to put the required India postage on the next cover along with a few stamps of Nepal. Anything to see what that native postmark would look like on cover! To return the courtesy I sent him a nice lot of unused U. S. stamps trusting that maybe we could add another philatelist to the ranks.

This second attempt proved quite successful. His reply was contained in the envelope as shown in Figure 1, the Nepal stamps being the 2p and 4p items. Very gradually Babu also enclosed a folded rice paper envelope containing eight used Nepal stamps, a few on the original piece showing postmark.

On the third try I went all out. I felt if Babu returned stamps for cover he might also return cover for cover and postcard for postcard. Sending a varied lot of material I also included a number of International Reply Coupons to cover the fee for registration. After waiting the usual seven to eight months his letter came and, as shown in Figure 2 was franked with regular India postage along with copies of the 5, 4 and 16 pice stamps of Nepal. The registration is handstamped on the label in small blue letters.

The Indian stamps are postmarked in a double-lined circle with British Legation in parenthesis, while the Nepal stamps are postmarked in a double-lined circle with Kasthamandap in parenthesis. Nepal informed me that registration is not available from Kasthamandap and hence had to be registered from the British Legation. With the exception of the first cover I received from my correspondent bearing the "Not Opened by Censor" marking, the others are enclosed in the transmisible postal document.
I was just in Martinique, a banana country, and had to wait after sending the Santana. One person, who can buy truckloads of bananas, wears is wiser to do so than to risk tying oneself up with others that are regular dealers, but many of the British Colonies wouldn't play ball and so I received such items as the handstamped Tulagi postcard from the British Solomon Islands with a copy of the 3d enclosed in return for the 4d. The fact that the gum on this stamp was still flexible interested me, that had a tear in it made no difference to the postmaster. I sent a coupon, he sent me the equivalent in mint stamps, although the chance of the specimen being returned to the South Pacific to use this item for postage is which only thing is it only a few for a collection piece. But again in this experience I have found that India is a tough nut to crack. Witness the one year and four months I had to wait before sending a self-addressed coupon and Reply Coupon to the Indian Correspondent at Pondicherry, French India. Keeping my fingers crossed, hoping to receive a few inclosures with a French Postmark on it. But there was no nicer marking, quite unusual, so I figure it was made just for that purpose.

So I would heartily recommend your trying this branch of collecting provided you do not have sufficient patience for the necessary delays that will occur. That your eye is not merely on the dollar sign if you intend to dispose of these items someday. In my own case, with the exception of the few covers from that area which I still have, I have traded off the self-made covers from the Indian States for a few British colonials. But then my interest has run out and I've decided to get a few inclosures with the French Postmark on it. However, it will provide a quick means to contact personal friends in these countries and so cut considerably the long waits in between. In cases where you are sending only a letter and no bulky inclosures, it will still pay to use airmail at the regular rates and inclose your reply coupons for the return postage for the few cents saved between the 6th international rate for regular postage and that of light-weight airmail.

I had hoped that the new air-mail letter sheet would allow one to send a few inclosures along with the message, but unfortunately this will not be permitted. However, it will provide a quick means to contact personal friends in these countries and so cut considerably the long waits in between. In cases where you are sending only a letter and no bulky inclosures, it will still pay to use airmail at the regular rates and inclose your reply coupons for the return postage for the few cents saved between the 6th international rate for regular postage and that of light-weight airmail. However, it will provide a quick means to contact personal friends in these countries and so cut considerably the long waits in between. In cases where you are sending only a letter and no bulky inclosures, it will still pay to use airmail at the regular rates and inclose your reply coupons for the return postage for the few cents saved between the 6th international rate for regular postage and that of light-weight airmail.
Himalaya Club, Aug. 28, 1930. This cancel was once in the collection of H. D. S. Haverbeck; I acquired it by auction in 1972. Neither Haverbeck himself, nor Holmes, nor Waterfall so much as mention the existence of this cancel. The cancel is unknown to any of the Tibet philatelists to whom it was shown, including Dr. Hellrigl. The auctioneer referred to it simply as “unusual.” I have never run across another example. I wrote to the present-day Himalayan (with an “n”) Club, located in Bombay, earlier in Calcutta with a branch in Darjeeling, which publishes the *Himalayan Journal*. The Hon. Editor, Harish Kapadia, for whom I supplied a rough drawing, said it was the logo or badge of a hotel (sic) in Mussoorie. I had called to his attention that the final “e” of what I supposed was the town name at the bottom was all that remained visible, since the pair of KGV one-anna browns had been removed from the putative cover. He referred me to two recent articles in his journal that discussed the hotel, as follows:

The articles (Colin Brand, “Collecting Books about Everest,” vol. 54 [1998] 18-24, and William Aitkin, “Seen But Not Approved: Mussoorie’s Himalaya Club,” vol. 55 [1999] 204-09) discuss the hotel (Brand only briefly, pp. 23-24, though he illustrates the motto [not paginated]) but do not really solve the mystery. The motto (see above) has no connection with the cancel other than the club name. The motto is shown on the back flap of a cover with Queen Victoria stamps cancelled Mussoorie Aug. 31, 1880. Aitkin’s article tells us that the modern version of the old Himalaya Club hotel (dating back to the late 1830s and enjoying a somewhat dubious reputation for gambling, “card-sharpening, deadly duels,” and illicit romances) still stands, but he mentions nothing that would justify its use of an official government canceller.

The Himalayan (with an “n”) Club (founded in 1928) has helped many a mountaineering expedition, recruiting porters, etc., even famous for being first to bestow the prized title “tiger” on the best of the Nepalese climbing sherpas. Its stated goal is “to encourage and assist Himalayan travel and exploration, and to extend knowledge of the Himalaya and adjoining mountain ranges through science, art, literature and sport” (quoted from their web page, http://www.himalayanchclub.com/advertising.htm ). As such it deserves space in an album of climbing covers. At this moment, unfortunately, I cannot say as much for its older sister. Mussoorie, to be sure, is a hill town (el. 2100 m., with a fine view of the ranges to the north, according to Aitkin), located in Uttar Pradesh, at a railhead, about 150 miles SE of Dharmala (home in exile of the present Dalai Lama) and an obvious gateway to the Karakorams (Nanga Parbat, K2, etc.).

* * * * *
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Tibet Officials: A Review
By Alan Warren

The "Officials" of Tibet, Armand E. Singer, 81/2 x 11 inches, comb bound, 40 pages, illustrated, Geoffrey Flack, Vancouver 1999. Available for $35 U.S. by surface mail anywhere from Geoffrey Flack, Box 65987 Station F, Vancouver, British Columbia V5N 5L4, Canada.

This book is an expanded and revised version of a 2-part article that originally appeared in 1999 issues of the Collectors Club Philatelist, published by the Collectors Club of New York. This version includes many color illustrations, which enhance the original. The so-called officials of Tibet appeared around 1950 and have been the subject of many articles, which have all been carefully reviewed by Singer, one of the world's leading specialists on the stamps and postal history of Tibet. He has also reviewed many covers bearing these stamps, often in combination with other issues, which are in the collections of his own, of other collectors, as well as sold at auction.

In addition to the five officials recognized in the major catalogs, there are two others included in this review-the 1 Trangka with three copies known, and the 5 Shokang with about half a dozen copies reported. The stamps are described in terms of size (the largest measures 2 1/2 inches square!), color, and value. Singles as well as full sheets are illustrated along with many examples of usage on cover. A number of the latter were the inspiration of a Nepalese trader in Tibet during the 1950s-Pratek Man Tuladhar.

In fact most examples of these stamps on cover, whether alone or in combination, have a distinctly philatelic flavor and commercial uses are not readily seen. The rates are frequently overpaid as a result of use of these stamps. At times they were supposedly added to inbound letters arriving at Gyantse, for forwarding to Lhasa. The author reaches his conclusions on these "officials" after a review of the literature and examination of over 200 covers. Collectors of Tibet will no doubt want a few examples for their collections but must understand the non-commercial aura that surrounds these stamps. There are still unanswered questions concerning the issues, and the complete story of why they were issued and the purpose for which they were intended may never be fully explained.

The text and color illustrations are of excellent quality. The book joins many other monographs of Tibet that have been produced by publisher Geoffrey Flack, all of which are important resources for collectors. Recommended for the libraries of those who collect the Himalayan area.

* * * * *
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Who is Dharma Man Tuladhar? He is one of the prominent figures in the history of Nepali trade with Tibet and also someone active in the revival of Buddhism in Nepal in the early 20th century. Dharma Man was born in Naradevi Tole of Kathmandu to an ordinary Newar family which had, for at least several generations, traded in Tibet. Later he moved to Tanlachhi Tole which was the base of operations in Nepal for trading in Lhasa. The family business was carried on through two generations. I am the first great grandson of Dharma Man, but unfortunately could not carry on the business as it ended in 1959.

The family trade with Tibet was established two hundred years ago, in 1790 A.D. The company's English name was "The First Musk Depot". - the head office was located in Lhasa. Around this time the company dealt with musk, brocades, gemstones, corals, pearls, cloth and general merchandise. Since Tibet had few industries of her own, these supplies had to be sought from the outside. The traders in Tibet had to obtain supplies from Calcutta, India, which was the nearest port to Nepal and Tibet. Calcutta was not only the source for Indian goods, it was also the source for other foreign goods.

Due to the lack of efficient systems for communication and transportation, the business had to be coordinated by establishing branch offices in different locations. These branch offices were called "Kothi". Dharma Man's kothis were centered in Calcutta, Kalimpong, Pharijong, Gyantse and Lhasa. The head office was in Chhusingsyar Teng in the Bakor - the downtown bazaar of Lhasa that surrounds the Jokhang temple. The shop was well known by the name of "Chhusingsyar Kothi". It was the custom, even in Nepal, for traders to be identified by the names of their Kothis. Goods destined for Tibet were moved by caravans.

Mostly, the trade with Tibet was carried out as a family business and exclusively by the male members of the family. However, this type of business required more manpower than was available by the family members alone. Thus, other individuals had to be recruited from outside the family. As the nature of business required a great deal of trust, goods had to be carried from Calcutta to Lhasa and money had to be transferred from Lhasa to different Kothis and to Nepal. So people who were close relatives or family members were called "Banja". These Banjas were mostly from the Uray and Shakya caste; both of these castes being Newar. The Banjas would take care of the business from their respective stations: Lhasa, Gyantse, Pharijong, Kalimpong and Calcutta. Fortunately, Dharma Man had very sincere and enterprising Banjas, and thus he was able to expand his business even as far as Ladakh and Kham. In Ladakh (Leh), Dharma Man tried to import tea, but it was not profitable.

Since most of the male family members spent quite a large part of their lives in Tibet, their cultural life was very much influenced by Tibetan culture; especially in the areas of religion and food. Nepali women were not allowed to go to Tibet because of regulations set forth by the Nepalese government. Most traders in Tibet were respectful of Lamaism and one could see the influence by observing their altars.

Dharma Man's business empire flourished until the late 40's, when the Chinese came to Tibet and supplies from China decreased greatly, affecting the Nepalese trader's commerce. Finally, in 1959, the Chinese took full control of Tibet, and the business had to be closed down as few Nepalis were allowed to stay. Also, one of the important Kothis in Calcutta was closed due to the decrease in trade. My father, Pratek Man, who was the eldest grandson of Dharma Man, was the last person from our family to be in Lhasa as a trader. He left Lhasa in 1960.
In 1930, as Dharma Man became older, the business was looked after by his three sons: Triratna Man, Gyan Man and Puma Man. In later years, Dharma Man devoted most of his money and time to religious purposes - mainly Buddhism, while his sons smoothly carried on the business of trading. Soon after Dharma Man died, a tragedy befell the second son, Gyan Man, as he lost his life while on a caravan taking supplies from Calcuta to Lhasa. The details of his death are unknown to me, but the road to Lhasa is very risky as the only way to get to Lhasa from Nepal is by foot and horseback, and there are many dangerous and narrow passes to be crossed. In fact, many Newar traders lost their lives on the route to Lhasa - the main causes being dangerous and narrow passes to cross, hazardous weather conditions and robbers seeking valuable supplies and money. Also, if someone fell ill medical facilities were not available.

We, as a family, are proud of Dharma Man's success. It is not only his family, but also the whole Newar Buddhist community, especially the older generation, that still acknowledges his social work, religious nature and gesture of generosity in the Kathmandu community. For this reason, he was popularly known as Dharmasahu. Sahu, which literally means merchant, is usually added to a merchant's name. After retiring from the business he returned to Kathmandu, and spent most of his time working for the cause of Buddhism.

Dharma Man is most remembered for his renovation of Swoyambhu, one of the oldest and most famous Nepalese Buddhist Stupas. It was he who took full responsibility for the renovation until its completion. At this time Nepal was ruled by the Ranas and they had very little support for Buddhism, so the government did very little to maintain Buddhist shrines. He was the major figure in managing the huge project. While many people donated funds to the renovation, he gave a great amount of money, and, since he had many connections in Tibet, his Banjas also collected money, especially from lamas, gombas, and other merchants who gave because of Dharma Man's reputation as a generous and trusted merchant. He risked his whole fortune and reputation in launching this project as the Rana government made him sign a bond stating that if the Swoyambhu renovation was not finished he had to sell all of his property in order to complete the work. This took a period of about five years as it started in 1917 and ended in 1921 A.D. The project was so successful that several years later Baudha was also renovated.

In addition to playing the piano quite well and being known as an artist, Dharma Man also supported scholastic endeavors. He sponsored the Indian scholar Rahul Sanskrityana, who stayed in the family household in Tibet while studying Tibetan Buddhism. His dedication to religion can be exemplified by his gesture of hosting Tibetans at his home on many occasions. He once hosted the great Lama, Kyanchha Lama, who came to Kathmandu as a pilgrim to Swoyambhu from Kham. Prostrating all the way, the journey from Kham took more than four years for the Lama to reach Kathmandu, arriving in 1922. Since he was a very learned teacher, Dharma Man asked him to preach the "Dharma" while in Nepal. This started in Dharma's home, but since the preaching became very popular and thousands of people came to listen, the religious services were conducted at Swoyambhu. The Bajracharya priests of Buddhist Newars became jealous, fearing a loss of patronage, which led to an unpleasant conflict. This has been discussed by Colin Rosser and Chittadhar 'Hridava' in the preface to Yogasudha, a book by Yoga Bir Singh Kansakar, who is one of the greatest revolutionary poets of the Newari language. He too was greatly influenced by the Kyanchha Lama. Dharma Man became the main target of the Bajracharya opponents since he was the greatest supporter of the lama.

My father, Pratek Man Tuladhar, was born in 1924 A.D., in Tanlachhi Tole. He was the eldest of the new generation and was called to Lhasa by his father, Triratna Man, to be trained for the operation of the business. He was 15 years old then and went to Lhasa with a group of Banjas. On the way he was met by his father who was going in the opposite direction to Kalimpong and Nepal. Pratek Man spent nine years in Tibet, after which he returned to Kathmandu via Kalimpong. He was now 24 years old and was married a few months later. After four years, he returned to Lhasa to look after the family business. At that time the business had already declined greatly, as previously stated, and in 1960 he closed the accounts and shops and returned to Kathmandu. But, while in Lhasa, he learned to speak and write Tibetan fluently. The family shop was located in Chhusingsyar Teng in the Bakor.
His interests drew him to collecting photographs, stamps and coins. He had pen pals all around the world and his name is well known among Tibetan stamp collectors. His collection of photographs of Tibet was made possible because his neighbor and friend was a professional photographer. He also took some photographs in Lhasa, covering different aspects of Tibetan life, history, culture, traders, etc. Interestingly, some of the original photographs were published in Charles Bell's books as well as other publications. Could it be that the Newar photographer was commissioned by Charles Bell or other writers and did these European authors buy the pictures from the photographer?

The most important thing that stands out during his stay in Lhasa was "Dharma", as he was very much influenced by Tibetan Buddhism. After returning to Nepal, he, like his grandfather, devoted much time to the cause of Buddhism. For example, he often did the translating of the preaching by Tibetan Rinpoche, while living in Swoyambhu, into Newari. He also often assisted Rinpoche in interpreting Pujas for Newar relatives and friends as well as writing articles for Newari language magazines and compiled and edited books relating to Buddhism.

This article was edited from a presentation, including slides of photographs, given at the University of Wisconsin (Madison) on the occasion of the 21st Annual Conference on South Asia, Nov. 6-8, 1992. The presentation was also given at one of the Nepal & Tibet Philatelic Study Circle meetings in San Francisco.
1935 receipt for a telegraph sent to my Grandfather, Dharma Man Tuladhar, in Kathmandu (via Raxaul), from his brother in Lhasa.
NEW MEMBERS:

William K. Drell, M.D., 909 Frostwood, #258, Houston, TX 77024, U.S.A.
Mr. F.C. Malpas, 22 Whiston Avenue, Wolverhampton, West Midlands, WV11 2QH, England.
Ozawa Tomio, Ph.D., 2-29-18, Ehara-Cho, Nakano-Ku, Tokyo, Japan.

CHANGE OF ADDRESS:

Maurice Hickey, "Tigh-Na-Coil", Alexandra Terrace, Forres, Morayshire, Scotland.

MEMBER'S E-MAIL ADDRESSES (if you want your email address published contact the editor):

Dr. Raj Grover - raj.grover@sk.sympatico.ca
Mr. Alan Warren - alanwar@worldnet.att.net

MEMBERS AWARDS:

Paul Hager received a Gold award, Best In Show and Best Research Awards at LOUIPEX in Louisville for his exhibit, "Study of the Pashupati Era of Nepal - 1907-1959", in June. He also received a Gold award at Indypex (Indianapolis, July 21-23) for the same exhibit.
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Having thus proved beyond doubt that the 100-pice rupee must have been introduced prior to 1928, the question of the exact date remains to be answered.

T.B. Khatri, author of *The Postage Stamps of Nepal*, says that the metric currency system was introduced on the New Year's day of B.S. 1960, corresponding to 14 April, 1903, in our calendar. If Khatri is correct, then it would probably mean that the currency was changed from 1 rupee = 16 annas, directly to 1 rupee = 100 pice, without first going through an intermediate situation of 1 rupee = 64 pice. The sheet format of the 1907 stamp issue (10 x 10) would obviously speak in favor of the metric system being in use at the time, but this cannot be taken as definite proof.

On the other hand, both *Gibbons Stamp Weekly* of 24 August, 1907, and *Illustriertes Briefmarken-Journal* of September, 1907, when reporting the new Pashupati issue of Nepal, maintain that 1 rupee = 64 pice.

Finally, the most recent philatelic publication by the Nepal Philatelic Society, *The Nepal Postage Stamps Catalogue 1881-1998*, contains a clear statement that the monetary system of 4 paisa = 1 anna, and 16 annas = 1 rupee: "... was in use till 1923 A.D.", before being replaced by the present denomination of 100 paisa = 1 rupee.

It should not be too difficult for philatelists in Nepal to trace back some non-philatelic documents or accounts of the period between 1903 and 1928, which will enable them to confirm either the 100-pice or the 64-pice version. This way, it could be established once and for all when the Nepalese rupee went metric.

[Editor. If I could only have one non-philatelic book on Nepal it would be Landon's *Nepal*. The book was reprinted in Kathmandu in 1976 (2 volumes in 1), and in India in 1993 (2 separate volumes). I prefer the Indian reprint as it is easier to handle and the print, illustrations and maps are not as muddy. Also several of the illustrations are in color, like the original 1928 edition.]

* * * * * * * * * *
Postal cards, along with aerogrammes, do not require the extra expense of purchasing stationery as space is provided for the message and address. Furthermore, the postal rate for letters is somewhat higher.

Although Nepal remained isolated until the 1950s, some reforms used in neighboring countries were introduced in Nepal. One postal example was the issuance of a postal card in 1887 - some students of Nepalese philately are of the opinion that the basic design of the first type of postal card was based on India's first postal card of 1879. Like the Indian card, which pictures Queen Victoria's head within a circle, the Nepalese card also has an inner circle which shows the Sri-pech (Nepal's state crown) with a pair of Khukuris beneath it - the design has been adapted from Nepal's first postage stamp issue of 1881. The upper two corner ornaments of the indicium are almost exact copies of the Indian card, but the value tablet of the Nepalese card is quite a bit smaller. The heading of Nepal's card, which reads "NEPAL SARAKAR" and 'HULAK PATRA" are separated by a "trotting" horse which is more or less similar to the Indian card's format. Likewise, the format of the instructions is very similar, with Nepal's card reading: "YASTIR PATRA PATHAUNE RA PAUNEKO NAAM PATA MATRA LEKHIYOS", which means: "THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF SENDER AND RECEIVER TO BE WRITTEN ON THIS SIDE ONLY". In contrast to the Indian card, there are four corner ornaments, two ornamental designs and specified areas for the name and address of the sender and receiver. In fact, the Nepalese card is more specific in its instructions as the authorities wanted the public to understand how to use the cards properly.

Surprisingly, the first Nepalese postal cards were printed in two colors on high quality, thick Nepalese paper; a practice that was not repeated on later cards until September, 1997, when the 110th anniversary of the first card was commemorated by a similar card with a value of 75p - an actual card is attached to the bottom of the previous page. Interestingly, at the time of the issuance of Nepal's first postal card, no Nepalese stamps or Indian postal cards were bicolor.

According to some writers, the first postal cards of Nepal were printed at TYPE CHHAPAKHANA or TYPE PRESS in Kathmandu. This was the first printing press of Nepal which was purchased by Prime Minister Maharajah jung Bahadur Rana during his visit to England in 1850. Nepal's first stamps were also printed on this very press in 1881. According to a decree of November, 1887, this press was ordered to print 50,000 postal cards. Around 1862, there was another printing press in Nepal which was known as MANORANJAN CHHAPAKHANA. And, before 1885, one more printing press called NARAYANHITI CHHAPAKHANA also existed in Nepal. However, there is no record of stamps or postal cards being printed by these last two presses. Following the assassination of Maharajah Ranouddip Singh in 1885, and the expulsion of Commander-In-Chief General Khadga Shumsher in 1886, Prime Minister Maharajah Bir Shumsher Rana acquired another press named SRI BIR DEVA PRAKASH YANTRALAYA (derived from the names of Prime Minister Bir Shumsher and his brother Commander-In-Chief General Deva Shumsher). Sometime before, a few pages of a book printed on this press were found with albino impressions of the first postal card of Nepal. Apparently the pages had been used for tests and rather than throw them away they were incorporated as part of the book. Because of this fact, the first postal cards were probably printed on the SRI BIR DEVA PRAKASH YANTRALAYA press.
It is believed that the Nepalese scientist, General Gehendra Shumsher Rana, son of Maharajah Bir Shumsher, modified this press in such a way that it could print two colors at one time. That is why the first Nepalese cards were printed as bicolor - the indicium in vermilion and the rest in black. It should be noted, though, that a spectacular, unique error exists - van der Wateren type 21 with the indicium inverted and printed in the lower left corner (Armand Singer collection - see Nepal Postal Stationery, 1995 edition, page 44). [ed. We can only speculate as to how the indicium was held in place relative to the rest of the card].

The November, 1887 issue of The Philatelic Recorder (London) published the news that a sample of Nepal's first postal card had been received. According to an unknown source, the postal cards were issued sometime in May of 1887. Thus, due to the fact that the cards were released prior to November of 1887, the existence of the albino impressions in a book printed on SRI BIR DEVA PRAKASH YANTRALAYA and the bicolor nature of the cards are strong reasons for believing that they were printed using SRI BIR DEVA PRAKASH VANTRALAYA and not TYPE CHHAPAKHANA, as previously thought. However, the corner ornaments and ornaments below Nepal Sarakar are similar to ones appearing in the selvedge of the first printing of the one anna in 1881, which are thought to be done on TYPE CHHAPAKHANA - possible evidence that the first postal card was also printed on this press. Therefore, it is still a matter of controversy as to which press was used. It has also been stated (source unknown) that the first postal cards were printed by another press which was in Kathmandu, known as PASHUPATI PRESS.

The first type postal cards were in print for some 42 years, resulting in three different indicium dies, five types of horses, different grouping of the text, etc., creating no less than 21 significant varieties.

This article, in Nepalese, first appeared in Gorkhapatra of August 28, 1966.
1998/1999/2000 MEMBER EXHIBIT AWARDS

Leo Martyn

Paul C. Hager's 5 frame exhibit, NEPAL: THE PASHUPATI ISSUES, received a Gold award and the "Grand Reserve Award" at LOUIPEX'98 (Louisville, Kentucky, USA). His exhibit also received a Vermeil award at INDYPEX '98 (Indianapolis, Indiana, USA).

+++++

Colin Hepper was awarded a Gold medal and the "Postal History Award" for NEPAL POSTAL HISTORY 1810-1911 at STAMPEX '98 (United Kingdom's National Stamp Exhibition).

+++++

At SESCAL '98, which took place in Los Angeles on Oct. 2-4, 1998, the following awards were presented:

Frealon Bibbins - Silver award for LT. COL. F.M. BAILEY, C.I.E., EXPLORER, SPECIAL AGENT, and a Silver award for his one frame exhibit - THE YELLOW OCHRE AND BISTRE 1/2 TRANGKA STAMPS OF TIBET.

Leo Martyn - Vermeil award for MAIL BETWEEN NEPAL AND A TIBET.

Lawrence Scott - Vermeil award for NEPAL: DEVELOPMENT OF ITS POSTAL SYSTEM. He also received a Gold medal and the Grand Reserve award at FRESPEX '97 for the same exhibit.

Armand Singer - Gold award, the "India Study Circle Award" and the "Michael Rogers Best Asia Award" for NEPAL, 1772 TO THE PRESENT.

+++++

Mario Barbiere received a Gold medal and the "Sidney Schnider Memorial Award" for TIBET; STAMPLESS (1897-1963 AT NOJEX (New Jersey's National Federated Stamp club show).

+++++

Alfonso Zulueta Jr. was presented a Large Vermeil award for NEPAL: PRESTAMP AND CLASSIC PERIOD, 1820-1930 at PRAGA '98, the International show held in Czechoslovakia. Al was also recognized as a "25 year member" at the American Philatelic Society's annual meeting on August 20, 1998.

+++++

Roger Skinner was presented a Silver award and the "American Philatelic Research Award" for NEPAL POSTAL MARKINGS at FILATELIC FIESTA in Santa Clara, California (February, 1999).

+++++

At WESTPEX '99, which was held in San Francisco, April 16-18, 1999, the following awards were presented:

Leo Martyn, a Gold award for MAIL BETWEEN NEPAL AND TIBET.
J. Frits Staal, a Gold award and the India Study Circle award for "Best India Material" -
FOUR PRINCELY STATES OF INDIA.

Alfonso G. Zulueta, Jr. a Silver award - AFGHANISTAN: LOCALLY-PRINTED "KING ZAHIR
SHAH" DEFINITIVES, AIRMAILS, AND POSTAL STATIONERY, 1933-1950.

+++++

Alan Warren reports that the following awards were presented at the International IBRA
exhibition held in Nurnberg, Germany, April 27-May 4, 1999:

Wolfgang Hellrigl, Gold award for TIBET - THE ISSUES OF 1912, 1914 AND 1933.

Binaya Manadhar, Silver Bronze award for ERRORS OF NEPAL.

Guenther-Otto Maus, Silver award for NEPAL.

Derek Alan Pocock, Vermeil award for THE POSTMARKS OF NEPAL BEFORE JOINING THE
U.P.U.

Dick van der Wateren, Gold award for THE CLASSIC PERIOD OF NEPAL.

+++++

Wolfgang Hellrigl received a Large Gold award for his Pashupati Issues exhibit at an Italian
National show.

+++++

Paul Hager received the following awards: a Vermeil for THE PASHUPATI ERA OF NEPAL at
Indypex '99; a Silver award and "Best Research Award" at Louipex for a 2 frame exhibit -
NEPALESE POSTAL MARKINGS; and a Gold at Lexington Stamp Show '99 for his Pashupati
exhibit.

+++++

Alan Warren received a Vermeil award and the "AAPE Award of Honor" at Sescal '99 (Los
Angeles) for his TIBET: STAMPS AND POSTAL HISTORY".

+++++

Armand Singer received a Silver award for his POSTAL HISTORY OF TIBET at the APS show in
Oregon. It was entered in the Display class - apparently judged on appearance rather than
content.

+++++

Alan Warren reports that Paul Hager received a Vermeil for his NEPAL: THE PASHUPATI ERA,
at the Garfield-Perry March Party held in March in Cleveland, Ohio.

* * * * * * * * *
Revised Postal Rates

### Newspapers:
- **Letters**:
  1. Up to 20 g — 90 CH
  2. Above 20 g to 50 g — 30 CH
  3. Above 50 g to 100 g — 40 CH
  4. Above 100 g to 250 g — 60 CH
  5. Above 250 g to 500 g — 1.05 NU
  6. Above 500 g to 1000 g — 3.00 NU
  7. Per additional step of 1000 g — 1.50 NU

### Postcards:
- **Letters**:
  1. Up to 20 g — 55 CH
  2. Above 20 g to 50 g — 50 CH
  3. Above 50 g to 100 g — 75 CH
  4. Above 100 g to 250 g — 1.20 NU
  5. Above 250 g to 500 g — 2.10 NU
  6. Above 500 g to 1000 g — 3.60 NU
  7. Above 1000 g to 2000 g — 6.00 NU
  8. Per additional step of 1000 g — 3.00 NU

### Small Packets:
- **Letters**:
  1. Up to 20 g — 15 CH
  2. Above 20 g to 50 g — 60 CH
  3. Above 50 g to 100 g — 75 CH
  4. Above 100 g to 250 g — 2.10 NU
  5. Above 250 g to 500 g — 3.60 NU
  6. Above 500 g to 1000 g — 13.5 CH
  7. Above 1000 g to 2000 g — 24 CH
  8. Per additional step of 1000 g — 24 CH

### Express Items:
- **Letters**:
  1. Up to 20 g — 1.00 NU
  2. Above 20 g to 100 g — 75 CH
  3. Above 100 g to 250 g — 105 CH
  4. Above 250 g to 500 g — 140 CH
  5. Above 500 g to 1000 g — 195 CH
  6. Above 1000 g to 2000 g — 390 CH

### Customs Clearance Fee:
- **Letters**:
  1. Up to 20 g — 3.00 NU
  2. Above 20 g to 100 g — 4.60 NU
  3. Above 100 g to 250 g — 6.00 NU
  4. Above 250 g to 500 g — 7.00 NU
  5. Above 500 g to 1000 g — 8.00 NU
  6. Above 1000 g to 2000 g — 9.00 NU
  7. Per additional step of 1000 g — 1.50 NU

### Storage Charge:
- **Letters**:
  1. Up to 20 g — 1 NU per day on each packet and parcel exceeding 500 g if the delivery is not taken within a week.

Accordingly, all the air mail rates payable after the revision of foreign postage rates (surface mail) are detailed below. The surcharge shall be in force till further notification.
NEPAL: I am selling Nepalese stamps, errors, varieties and Postal Stationery.

Ajit Shah, GPO Box 2159, Kathmandu, Nepal.

NEPAL: Pashupati period covers bearing stamps from the 1907, 1930 and 1935 issues (including mixed issues on cover). Also, a large used block of the 1 Rupee 1930 issue. Offers invited – please send photocopies to:

Wolfgang Hellrigl, PO Box 349, I-39100 Bozen, Italy

For all your philatelic requirements for stamps, postal history, postal stationery, revenues, etc. of BHUTAN, NEPAL, TIBET, & INDIAN STATES, please contact us for your general or specific wants, so we can offer items of your choice. Please write or send emails to:

Kalamandir, PO Box 10148, Kathmandu, Nepal. – email: ramesh@moti.wlink.com.np

Kalamandir is a government registered enterprise which will be dealing in stamps and various other items.